

	EXTRACTIVE	SUSTAINABLE	RESTORATIVE	REGENERATIVE	
	ALL of the below criteria must be addressed before a project can progress beyond Extractive.		Failure to meet the highlighted criteria below prevents progression beyond Restorative	To achieve a Regenerative rating, the highlighted criteria below must be met.	
ECOLOGY	Does the project protect existing habitat? Does the project maintain the sites current biodiversity?	Does your project support multiple species beyond just human use? Are ecological spaces physically and sensorially inclusive? Are ecological systems connected to wider pedestrian and wildlife movement?	Does the project promote biodiversity rather than just being decorative? Is long-term ecological stewardship planned beyond construction? Does the ecological strategy emerge from local history, ecology and community?	Is ecological performance monitored and verified post-occupancy? Does ecology function as living infrastructure rather than aesthetics?	/100
INCLUSIVITY	Are primary spatial experiences accessible to all users? Has accessibility been embedded from the beginning of the project?	Does the project provide step-free and sensory-accessible routes? Are different sensory, cognitive and physical needs considered? Are routes safe, legible and equitable for all users?	Were disabled/marginalised communities involved in shaping the project? Does the project improve wellbeing, dignity and autonomy for diverse users? Can the project adapt to changing accessibility needs over time?	Does inclusivity create richer experiences for everyone, over minimum compliance? Does the project empower users to shape or adapt the space themselves?	/100
GOVERNANCE CIRCULARITY	Do communities have meaningful involvement in decision-making? Is ecological and social wellbeing prioritised over short-term gain?	Are processes transparent and accountable? Are stakeholder responsibilities clearly defined? Are communities and stakeholders actively consulted throughout the design process?	Is decision-making power shared with communities? Are benefits and power distributed equitably? Are local identity and community protected and preserved?	Is there long-term stewardship in place rather than one-off consultation? Can systems evolve to fit future ecological and community needs?	/100
	Does the project avoid linear 'take-make-dispose' systems? Does the project avoid reliance on virgin or high carbon materials?	Are waste, energy and resource use reduced during construction and operation? Are recycled or responsibly sourced materials used? Are systems designed to efficiently minimise waste?	Are systems designed for disassembly, reuse and adaptation? Does the project restore local material and resource cycles? Are regenerative or bio-based materials integrated in the project?	Do circular systems actively regenerate ecological and social systems? Can material/resource systems continue adapting over time?	/100
For each criteria above, a score from 0-10 will be assigned based on your response: 0 - Not considered 5 - Considered & Partially Resolved 10 - Fully Embedded & Resolved You will end up with a score out of 100 for each subsection: - Ecology - Inclusivity - Governance - Circularity For each subsection, your rating will be determined by the following scale: 0-20 = Extractive 21-50 = Sustainable 51-80 = Restorative 81-100 = Regenerative IMPORTANT NOTE: Failure to meet highlighted criteria within a category automatically caps the project at the preceding rank, regardless of numerical score achieved. Your overall rating will be determined by your lowest scoring subsection. Eg: If your ecology score is Sustainable, but all others are Restorative, you will be capped at Sustainable.					

